

Before the Karnataka Electricity Regulatory Commission, Bangalore

Dated this the 26th day of June 2003

Present

- 1. Sri. Philipose Matthai - Chairman**
2. Smt. Nalini M.K. Menon - Member

Case No.RP-04 /2003

In the matter of Bulk supply rate payable by the Society as per Tariff order 2003

The Hukeri Rural Electric Co-operative Society Ltd.,
HUKERI - 591 309.

By it's Managing Director

Petitioner

The Petitioner is a holder of supply license and is engaged in the supply of electricity within the area comprising of the entire Hukeri Taluk of Belgaum district. The Commission in its Tariff Order 2003 issued on 10th March 2003 has approved a uniform Bulk Supply Tariff for ESCOMs and the Petitioner. Further, through its order dated 28.3.2003, the Commission, approved the ERC of the Petitioner for the year 2004, and ordered that the cash payment for the Bulk Supply payable by the Petitioner to KPTCL at 100Ps per kWh. The Petitioner has sought to review this order-dated 28.03.2003 on the following grounds:

(i) Sales for the HT 2a for FY 04 estimated by the Commission is higher than the estimates of the Petitioner. The Sales for FY 04 will be lower than FY 03 due to restriction in power supply and one consumer is likely to resort to co-generation.

(ii) The Commission has observed that the Petitioner has not accounted the miscellaneous income of Rs.105.9 lakhs. The Petitioner claims that there is double entry for this amount resulting into over estimation of the income of the Petitioner.

2. Sri D.S.Holennavar, Accounts Officer of the Society, who represented the Petitioner, filed written arguments. The arguments are the reiteration of the points mentioned in the review petition.

3. We have carefully considered the points raised by the Petitioner in this case. The Petitioner did not furnish specific reasons for reduction of HT 2a sales in its ERC. The point relating to one of the consumer resorting to co-generation was also not mentioned. Moreover the restriction on supply of power equally applies to all consumers and not to HT consumers alone. Therefore we do not find any merit in the grounds relating to higher estimation of HT 2a sales. The Commission has rightly estimated the sales based on the specific consumption of the previous year and after observing actual sales up to October FY 03. The Petitioner has erred in not submitting the correct figures relating to miscellaneous income and the same cannot be admitted at this length of time. However, the figures provided by the society are for FY 04 estimates only and are subject to change. The revision could be considered once the actual figures are available at the end of the year.

4. Therefore, the petition is disposed with a liberty to the Petitioner to seek revision after the actual figures are available at the end of the year. So ordered.

(Philipose Matthai)
Chairman

(Nalini M.K. Menon)
Member