

**BEFORE THE KARNATAKA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION,
BENGALURU**

Dated : 28th January, 2015

- | | |
|------------------------------|----------|
| 1. Sri M.R.Sreenivasa Murthy | Chairman |
| 2. Sri H.D.Arun Kumar | Member |
| 3. Sri D.B.Manival Raju | Member |

Complaint No.5 / 2014

BETWEEN :

K.B. Steels Pvt. Ltd.,
Regd. Office, 8th Cross,
M.J.Nagar,
Hosapete – 583 203,
Ballari District.
(Party in person)

.. **COMPLAINANT**

AND:

- 1) Assistant Executive Engineer (Ele.),
O & M, Rural Sub-Division,
Gulbarga Electricity Supply Company Ltd.,
Hosapete.
- 2) The Managing Director,
Gulbarga Electricity Supply Company Ltd.,
Station road,
Kalaburagi – 585 102

.. **RESPONDENTS**

1) The genesis of the case is a letter dated 13.01.2014 from the Managing Director of the Complainant Company stating as follows :

The Assistant Executive Engineer, RSD, GESCOM, Hosapete had raised back billing charges (BBC) of Rs.2,42,05,713.00 on 13.08.2012. Against this the complainant filed case No.6/2012

Complaint No.5/2014

before the CGRF, Ballari. The CGRF decided the case on 29.03.2014. According to the Orders passed by the CGRF the complainant paid the revised amount. However, the Assistant Executive Engineer has not withdrawn the amount from the bill and has been showing the same as arrears in the bills from 01.09.2014.

The complainant had prayed for withdrawal of the said amount from the bills. He had also alleged that the temporary contract demand requested by the Company on 23.05.2014 has not been sanctioned.

2) The Commission treated the letter as a complaint petition and issued notice to the respondents. The Chief Engineer of GESCOM appeared before the Commission on 16.01.2015 and submitted that the CGRF case No.6/2012 relates to the period March, 2009 to September, 2009 and as directed in the Order, the Back Billing Charges (BBC) have been revised to Rs.12,293 for the consumption by the complainant based on the recording of Munirabad Station. The arrears claimed in the subsequent bills relate to the consumption recorded from November, 2009 to March, 2012 (8,99,040 units). He further submitted that reduction of contract demand could not be considered as the arrears of Rs.2.42 crores is pending.

3) The Commission had treated the letter of the complainant as a complaint petition only to see whether there has been violation of the Orders of the CGRF dated 29.03.2014 in Case No.6/2012 by GESCOM, as alleged. After hearing the parties and perusing the records, we note that the back billing charges claimed by the Assistant Executive Engineer for the period from March 2009 to September 2009 was challenged in Case No.6/2012 by the complainant before the CGRF, Ballari. This case was disposed of vide Order dated 29.03.2014 and the amount has been

Complaint No.5/2014

revised. The dispute ends there. The arrears of Rs.2.42 crores raised in the subsequent bills relate to the period from November 2009 to March 2012 and has no relation to the grievance redressed by the CGRF as can be seen from the written submissions filed by the Chief Engineer, GESCOM, on 16.01.2015. Hence, we are satisfied that there is no violation of the Order of the CGRF as alleged by the complainant.

4) In view of the foregoing, the complaint petition is dismissed.

Sd/-

(M.R. SREENIVASA MURTHY)
CHAIRMAN

Sd/-

(H.D. ARUN KUMAR)
MEMBER

Sd/-

(D.B. MANIVAL RAJU)
MEMBER